

1190 Interstate Parkway Augusta, GA 30909 706-434-1708

www.AmericasWarriorPartnership.org

Board of Directors

Tim McClain, Chairman

Jay Town, Vice Chairman

Tom Weyl, Secretary

James M. Hull, Treasurer

Jim Lorraine, President

W. Thomas Matthews Immediate Past Chair

B. David Allen

Pat Blewett

Clay P. Boardman

Peter J. Bunce

David P. Fridovich, Lieutenant General (Retired) U.S. Army

Paul Hatch

David J. McIntyre, Jr.

Al Tarasiuk

Ron Thomas

Leo Thorsness, Col. (Ret) MoH Recipient

Pete Caye, Jr., Emeritus

Charlie Hall Executive Director Upstate Warrior Solution 3 Caledon Court, Suite A-2 Greenville, SC 29615

Re: AWP Community Integration Audit Results

Dear Mr. Hall:

America's Warrior Partnership has completed its program audit on Upstate Warrior Solution (UWS) use of Community Integration grant funding and program deliverables for Year 2 of the Community Integration Initiative.

Our findings include recommendations that are shared best practices and have been proven to support Community Integration Initiative. Please review the program audit full report for **recommendations** in relevant sections.

Auditor noted mandates in areas that required immediate attention and action. The mandate(s) are located within the program audit, however, for a quick reference the mandates are below:

- UWS create a feasible voucher system including a policy and procedure to be submitted to America's Warrior Partnership within 4 months, no later than December 15, 2016.
- America's Warrior Partnership grant funds must be fully matched by the end of the grant year within the approved program budget or any other budget reallocation upon approval.

We have found that your program is in alignment with our guidelines and is progressing according to the agreed upon objectives. We appreciate the dedication UWS has demonstrated to Warriors/family members and the community.

If you have any questions please feel free to contact Cheree Tham at 706.631.6537 or ctham@americaswarriorpartnership.org.

Sincerely,

Chee M. 2 ham

Cheree M. Tham America's Warrior Partnership Director Community Integration



COMMUNITY INTEGRATION COMPREHENSIVE AUDIT

Exhibit 1: Guide f	or Review of Grantees Receiving COMMUNITY INTEGR	RATION (CI) Funds		
Name of Grantee	Name of Grantee: Upstate Warrior Solution (UWS)			
Grantee Staff Consulted: Charlie Hall, Theresa Thompson, Nate Moore, Derrick Popham, James				
Mulak, Andrew Mitchell, Jenna Grotler, Robyn Grable, Brett Claycamp				
Name(s) of	Cheree Tham, Director of Community Integration	Date: August 9-10,		
Reviewer(s):	Kaitlin Mongan, Grants and Planning Manager	2016		

NOTE: All questions that address *requirements* contain the citation for the source of the requirement (i.e. RFP application, grant agreement). If the requirement is not met, America's Warrior Partnership (AWP) must make a finding of noncompliance. All other *questions* (questions that do not contain the citation for the requirement) do not address requirements, but are included to assist the reviewer in understanding the grantee's program more fully and/or to identify issues that, if not properly addressed, could result in deficient performance. Negative conclusions to these questions may result in a "concern" being raised, but do not constitute a "finding."

<u>Preparing for Inspection:</u> Before performing an inspection, the reviewer should be familiar with both the AWP Community Integration grant agreement requirements and the design and operation of the grantee's (also referred to as Community Group) program, particularly those areas that have been identified as outreach and engagement. Information that will assist in successful program evaluation includes:

- the Community Group's Metrics/Program Deliverables, monthly reports;
- the AWP CI Comprehensive Audit (CA);
- the grant agreement(s) for the project being monitored;
- the AWP CI Policy and Procedure Manual;
- the Community Group's grant budget and, if any, revisions;
- the Community Group's Community Profile Map;

- the Community Group's grant disbursements and requisite financial documents; and
- the Community Group's approved Request for Proposal (RFP) response and sustainability plan.

<u>File Selection and Sampling:</u> Expanded sampling aids in determining whether problems are isolated events or represent a systemic problem. The AWP reviewer should consider the following guidelines to select the specific files (active and/or inactive) that will comprise the review sample for evaluation questions that require a file review:

- Where feasible, initial file selection should be made using a random selection method.
- Consider expanding the sample to include a file or files from each staff person working in the respective program area being monitored.
- If problems were noted during the initial file selection's review, consider expanding the sample to include additional files with the same characteristics (e.g., same problem category, same staff person, same activities or other characteristics).

Evaluation:

This s	ogram Progress ection is designed to ensure that activities are being carried he number of consumers served is consistent with the appro	
Item	Description	Score
1	Is the number of consumers currently being served consistent with the program deliverable in the approved application? (Source: Monthly Report/Quarterly Report)	☐ 0 11% variance or more. ☐ 1 Within 10% variance. ☐ 2 No variance or exceeds variance and have already exceeded service number.
2	Is staffing level proportionate with consumers served? (Source: Grantee RFP Program Budget and Interview)	□ 0 Lacking staff member(s), consumers served not proportionate to grant agreement (11% variance +). □ 1 Staffed according to grant agreement, consumers served proportionate to grant agreement (within 10% variance). □ 2 Staffed according to grant agreement, (zero variance).

Overall Remarks:

UWS has a staff that includes 6 FTE, 9 PTE, 6 Fellows (Volunteers with small stipend), and 4 bachelor's level social work interns. The staff has put an emphasis on outreach in the past but current metrics indicate a drop in outreach to Warriors. However, through further discussion, it was observed that in combination with additional staff roles and responsibilities, Warriors who have been outreached to have several supportive service requirements. Therefore, UWS has been focusing on supporting Warriors that have been outreached to that require assistance. UWS has increased their teams capacity to continue to conduct outreach and support those that they outreach to.

In previous audit it was recommended that UWS diversify their Warrior population to include all Warriors and not just those with complexity of needs. It was apparent through documentation and the use of WarriorServe™ that they have diversified their Warrior population.

In previous audit it was recommended that UWS organizational structure be set up to support clear lines of supervision/communication through supervision and team meetings. UWS at that time designated staff to be experts in designated area but it has been demonstrated through the use of supervision and team meetings that the staff maintains their expertise but are acting as generalist for the Warrior population. UWS has three offices; Greenville, Spartanburg, and Clemson in which they provide bi-weekly meetings with staff and directors and bi-weekly case coordination meetings.

B. Overall Management Systems/Structure This section is designed to assess grantee's overall management system and structure to ensure compliance with program requirements and achievement of program goals. Item | Description Score 0 No written policies and Does the grantee have written procedures describing procedures and/or missing policies and scope and delivery of services including description of the procedures. AWP Community Integration Initiative, program goals, 1 Policies and procedures written. 2 Policies and procedures welldescription of services to be provided, identification of organized, clear and thorough. Policies population to be served? Describe and cite source and procedures are easily accessible to documentation. (Source: Grantee Policy and Procedures manual, all Community Organization Staff, grantee program handbooks, grantee program brochures) reviewed often. Notes: UWS Education Program Development, Peer Mentor Support Program, Veterans Treatment Court, UWS Policy and Procedural Manual all include description of Community Integration Initiative. The execution of the above P&P establishes a clear path to diversify and support the Warrior population of the upstate.

	UWS has an in depth employee handbook that clearly defin	es the HR matters for
	employees, volunteers and interns.	
2	Describe how the grantee obtains input from consumers served and other community stakeholders. Does the grantee utilize input to improve performance of the program? (Source: satisfaction surveys, demonstration of participation in advisory groups, conferences, presentations to stakeholders, suggestion boxes, strategic planning documents, sub grantee applications)	□ 0 Grantee does not have a standard practice in place to get input from consumers or community stakeholders. □ 1 Grantee has an internal survey consumer to get input. Grantee obtains input from stakeholders. □ 2 Grantee has internal survey or focus group to get consumer input. Grantee holds quarterly meetings to obtain community stakeholder input and uses that input to enhance the AWP program.
	Notes: Currently the only official input provided to UWS from	
П	the Annual Survey and Initial Intake and Follow up question	ns (QoL). Recommendation:
	UWS develop a survey monkey with no more than 5 question	ons that is made available to
	Warriors UWS has engaged, create polls that periodically go	o to their Warriors, and/or
	create a feedback box in the offices in order to ascertain th	
3	Does the grantee have procedures for preventing, reporting, and remediating critical incidents including incidents related to persons served? Critical incidents may include events involving injury, aggression or violence, suicide or attempted suicide, abuse, neglect. (Source: Grantee Policies and Procedures manual, copies of incident reports if relevant, documentation of staff training, interview)	□ 0 Grantee does not have procedure in place. □ 1 Grantee has policies and procedure in place for preventing, reporting and remediating critical incidents. □ 2 Grantee has a clear procedure in place and staff is aware of how to handle a critical incident. Grantee closely monitors risk as part of its regular operations.
4	Does the grantee have procedures for providing and documenting supervision of personnel delivering services to consumers? (Source: documentation of meetings or team meetings, records of competency based trainings, interview, grantee policies and procedures)	□ 0 Grantee does not have a procedure in place for providing and documenting supervision. □ 1 Grantee provides regular supervision and yearly employee evaluations. □ 2 Grantee provides regular supervision, yearly employee evaluations, and conducts trainings relevant to AWP services.
5	Are the duties for Community Integration Initiative personnel up to date and defined by job descriptions? (Source: current job descriptions)	□ 0 Grantee does not have job descriptions or job descriptions do not match the job duties described. □ 1 Grantee has job descriptions that match the duties of the staff. □ 2 Grantee has job descriptions that match the duties of the staff, review the job descriptions annually and update if the job duties change.
6	Does the grantee's governing body or board of directors have policies that include signed conflict of interest and ethical code of conduct declarations? (Source: Grantee Board Policies, or annual signed conflict of interest declarations,	0 Grantee's board or governing body does not have polices related to conflict of interest and/or ethical codes of conduct. 1 Grantee is able to provide policies

	annual signed ethical conduct declarations, Interview, Board Meeting minutes)	related to its board/governing body related to conflict of interest and ethical codes of conduct. 2 Grantee is able to provide policies related to its board/governing body related to conflict of interest and ethical codes of conduct. Policies are reviewed on a regular basis and provided to new board members.
7	Is the grantee's governing body or board of directors involved and invested in AWP Community Integration Initiative? (Source: Grantee Board Policies, or annual signed conflict of interest declarations, annual signed ethical conduct declarations, board meeting minutes, Interview)	□ 0 Grantee unable to demonstrate board of directors/governing body involvement and investment in AWP Community Integration. □ 1 Grantee is able to demonstrate the board is involved and invested in the AWP Community Integration Initiative through meeting notes. □ 2 Grantee is able to demonstrate that the grantee's board is extremely involved and invested in AWP Community Integration Initiative through meeting notes and active involvement in the AWP Community Integration Initiative.
8	Does the grantee have policies and procedures ensuring that confidential information, including records of persons served, is secure and protected? (Source: Visual observance, grantee policies addressing confidentiality, interview, demonstration of access to electronic records)	□ 0 Grantee does not have a clear written policy and procedure for protecting confidential information. □ 1 Grantee has policies and procedures written and implemented to ensure the security and protection of confidential information. □ 2 Grantee has policies and procedures written and implemented to ensure the security and protection of confidential information. Grantee staff received training on HIPPA standards and/or confidentiality training.
doing a situ and t are c	all Remarks: B3: UWS continues to provide a great servers, so, there have been occasions where staff have put the lation. Recommendation: UWS develop policies regard heir families, home visits and after office hours provisionsistent with insurance policies and laws surrounding to to ensure staff, volunteers and interns as well as War	emselves in potential risk of ing transporting Warriors ns. Ensure that all policies the responsibility of the
	JWS conducts Mid-Year Performance Reviews as well as ews as required.	s Annual Performance
infor	All documentation of Warriors are secured through Warmation that is held on paper copies or previous excel spates within a locked facility.	-

	ıb-grantee Management (if applicable)	
	ection is designed to assess the grantee's management of a	
comp	liance with program requirements and achievement of prog	ram goals.
Item	Description	Score
1	Does the grantee have a written policy and procedure that describes a management system for the oversight of its Sub-grantees? (If "yes," briefly describe below.) (Source: Grantee Application, interview, Sub grantee application)	□ 0 Grantee does not have a written management system for the oversight of its sub-grantees.* □ 1 Grantee has a written management system for the oversight of its sub-grantees. □ 2 Grantee has a written management system for the oversight of sub-grantees. Grantee conducts regular monitoring, including on-site visits with sub-grantees to ensure that services are provided in compliance with the AWP program deliverables and the approved grant agreement
2	Has the grantee executed written agreements with its sub-grantees to carry out program activities? (Source: Sub grantee application, MOA, Monthly Reports)	□ 0 Grantee does not have written agreements that clearly define the program activities the sub-grantee is to perform. □ 1 Grantee has written agreements that clearly define the program activities the Sub-grantee is to perform. □ 2 Grantee has written agreements that clearly define the program activities the sub-grantee is to perform. These Program Activities are reviewed with the sub-grantee regularly to ensure compliance with AWP program deliverables and the approve grant agreement.
2a	If the answer to "2" above is "yes," does the written work for the sub-grantee agreements contain sufficient descriptions of the direct services that would enable the grantee to effectively monitor the sub-grantees activities? (Source: Grantee Program Files and Interview, Sub grantee applications)	O Sub-grantee Agreements do not contain sufficient descriptions of the types of outreach and engagement that would enable the grantee to effectively monitor the Sub-grantee's activities. 1 Sub-grantee Agreements do contain sufficient descriptions of the types of outreach and engagement that would enable the grantee to effectively monitor the sub-grantee activities. 2 Sub-grantee Agreements do contain sufficient descriptions of the types of outreach and engagement that would enable the grantee to effectively monitor the sub-grantee activities. The agreements include standards for

	· ·	service delivery.
3	Does the written agreement with the sub-grantee include statements regarding mitigation of conflicts of interest? (Sub grantee application, Grantee Program Files and Interview)	□ 0 The written agreements do not include statements regarding mitigation of conflicts of interest. □ 1 The written agreements include statements regarding mitigation of conflicts of interest. □ 2 The written agreements include statements regarding mitigation of conflicts of interest. A process for handling conflicts of interest is also discussed in the written agreement.
4	Does the grantee have a written policy and procedure that ensures accountability of Sub Grantees both financially and program deliverables? (If "yes," briefly describe below.) (Source: Grantee Application, interview, Sub grantee application)	□ 0 Grantee does not have a written Policy & Procedure for the accountability of its sub-grantees.* □ 1 Grantee has a written Policy & Procedure for the accountability of its sub-grantees. □ 2 Grantee has a written Policy & Procedures for the accountability of sub-grantees. Grantee conducts regular monitoring, including onsite visits with sub-grantees to ensure that services are provided in compliance with the AWP program deliverables and the approved grant agreement
5	Is the number of consumers currently being served by Sub Grantee consistent with the program deliverable in the approved MOA and Contract? (Source: Program Deliverables monthly report, Names of Warriors associated with the number reported on monthly reports)	
6	Does the grantee have a written policy and procedure that identifies the Quality Control of data provided by Sub-grantees?	□ 0 Grantee does not have a written Policy & Procedure for the Quality Control of its sub-grantees.* □ 1 Grantee has a written Policy & Procedure for the Quality Control of its sub-grantees. □ 2 Grantee has a written Policy & Procedures for the Quality Control of sub-grantees. Grantee conducts regular monitoring, including onsite visits with sub-grantees to ensure that services are provided in compliance with the AWP program deliverables and the approved grant agreement
7	Does the grantee have a written policy and procedure that outlines communication and referrals from Subgrantees?	□ 0 Grantee does not have a written Policy & Procedure for the communication and referrals of its sub-grantees.* □ 1 Grantee has a written Policy & Procedure for the communication and referrals of its sub-grantees. □ 2 Grantee has a written Policy &

		Procedures for the communication and referrals of sub-grantees. Grantee conducts regular monitoring, including on-site visits with sub-grantees to ensure that services are provided in compliance with the AWP program deliverables and the approved grant agreement
8	Does the Sub-Grantee identify expenditures in its accounting records according to eligible activities identified in the approved application? (Source: Sub-Grantee Program Files, Fiscal Records and Approved Program Budget)	□ 0 Sub-Grantee does not have method to identify expenditures according to eligible activities identified in approved application. * □ 1 Sub-Grantee has accounting records that clearly identify expenditures identified in most recent program budget. □ 2 Sub-Grantee has accounting records that clearly identify expenditures identified in most recent program budget. Sub-Grantee regularly monitors actual expenditures to compare with program budget.
9	Are charges to the program budget for direct services, whether treated as direct or indirect costs, based on documentation and approved by a responsible official(s) of the organization being monitored? (Source: Sub-Grantee Program Budget, P & L agency wide, P & L CI, Invoices, cancelled checks/receipts)	□ 0 Sub-Grantee does not have documentation identifying payroll documentation. □ 1 Sub-Grantee has documentation of wages based on payroll that identifies employee, time worked in program budget, and deduction. Individual payroll has been approved by responsible staff. □ 2 Sub-Grantee has documentation of wages based on payroll that identifies employee, time worked in program, and deductions that has been approved by responsible staff. Sub-Grantee provides evidence of periodic review of charges for salaries and comparison of actual services provided.
10	Is there evidence in the financial records of any cash payments being provided directly to the consumers? (Source: Sub-Grantee Program Budget, P & L agency wide, P & L CI, Invoices, cancelled checks/receipts)	□ 0 Program files or financial records indicate cash or gift cards provided to consumers. □ 1 Payments of cash or gift cards directly to consumers is not evident in financial records. □ 2 Payments of cash or gift cards directly to consumers is not evident in financial records and procedures exist to mitigate ineligible expenses

ir e p e	s there evidence that ineligible expenses have been paid with CI funds (Examples of ineligible expenditures include, but are not limited to, costs associated with entertainment, contributions and donations, fines and benalties, or general governmental and nonprofit expenditures including salary and expenses of the chief executive officer of the Sub-Grantee.) (Source: Sub-Grantee	□ 0 Program files or financial records indicate ineligible expenses have been paid with CI funds. □ 1 Payments of ineligible expenses are not evident in financial records. □ 2 Payments of ineligible expenses are not evident in financial records and procedures
-------------------	---	---

Overall Remarks:

UWS secured 4 sub-grants for this grant term. In the agreements, the sub-grants provided funding for salaried positions within organizations. 2 of the current sub-grants had already expended their funds at the time of the program audit. 2 of the sub-grants were on track to expend their funds at the end of the contract year. The 2 organizations that were on track to spend money by contract end were Goodwill and Vet Court. Cheree Tham (America's Warrior Partnership), Kaitlin Mongan (America's Warrior Partnership), and Charlie Hall (UWS) met with Goodwill and Vet Court regarding Sub-grant (8.9.16).

Goodwill Industries: Goodwill has been a sub-grantee of UWS for two consecutive years. The money used to fund Goodwill was for a salaried position to specifically assist Warriors with employment through Goodwill industries. The position did not exceed Goodwill's other staff responsibilities other than to work specifically with the Warrior population. This partnership allowed for UWS to secure a relationship with Goodwill to work with UWS Warriors to secure employment. Following a conversation with Goodwill, they are unable to continue to fund a Goodwill employee to specifically work with Warriors and without funding they will continue to work with the Warriors as they do with all job seekers who utilize Goodwill services. A conversation ensued following to discuss a continued partnership with UWS without funding for a salaried position. Several options were shared regarding in kind support and partnership that has been successful with other community groups and the Goodwill's in those areas that did not require funding for a salaried position but leveraged both Goodwill's expertise and the community groups expertise. UWS and Goodwill will continue to discuss methods of leveraging each other to secure successful employment for Warriors and their families.

Vet Court: Vet Court has been a sub-grantee of UWS for two consecutive years. The money used to fund Vet Court was for a part-time employee who works directly with the courts and jails to assess Warriors and secure a plan for them. Currently, there is no funding for Vet Courts in the Upstate and therefore, in order to continue the Vet Court additional funding will need to be secured within the community or through grants to ensure that this program continues.

Recommendation: Sub-grantee funding for next contract year cannot be used for salaried positions and can only be used for direct services to Warriors. Therefore, it is recommended that UWS determine the direct services Warriors are needing in order to assist them with their goals and objectives and to identify non-profits in the area that can provide those direct services.

D. Outreach and Targeting

This section is designed to assess outreach services as described in Grant Agreement, RFP and Playbook. Grantees must a) provide outreach services and use their best efforts to ensure that hard-to-reach Warrior families are identified, engaged and provided supportive services and b) outreach services must include active liaison with local VA facilities, State, local, tribal (if any), nonprofits, and private agencies and organizations providing supportive services to Warriors and their families in the area or community served by the grantee.

	ors and their families in the area or community served by the	
ltem	Description	Score
1	Does the grantee's documentation show where outreach is conducted and the frequency of outreach activities? (Source: Grantee Program Files and Interview)	□ 0 Grantee does not have adequate documentation of where and /or when outreach is being conducted to both community agencies and potential program consumers. □ 1 Grantee has documentation showing where outreach is being conducted and the frequency of outreach to both community agencies and potential program consumers. ☑ 2 Grantee has documentation showing where outreach is being conducted and the frequency of outreach to both community agencies and potential program consumers. Grantee has a regular, consistent outreach schedule which is program leadership.
2	Does the grantee provide consistent outreach efforts in the community that target the populations identified in their grant agreement? (Source: Grantee Program Files, Census Data and Interview)	□ 0 Grantee does not provide consistent and regular outreach in community as identified in the gran agreement. Grantee is not adequately targeting community agencies and potential program consumers. * □ 1 Grantee provides consistent and regular outreach in community as identified in the grant agreement. Grantee is adequately targeting community agencies and potential program consumers. ☑ 2 Grantee provides consistent and regular outreach in community as identified in the grant agreement. Grantee is adequately targeting community agencies and potential program consumers. Outreach activities are reviewed by program leadership.
3	Does the grantee provide outreach services to find and engage Warriors and their families on a routine basis in a variety of community venues? (Source: Grantee Program Files and Interview)	0 Grantee does not demonstrate outreach services to find and engage Warriors and their families on a routine basis in a variety of community venues.

		□ 1 Grantee does demonstrate outreach services to find and engage Warriors and their families on a routine basis, but in limited community venues. □ 2 Grantee does demonstrate outreach services to find and engage Warriors and their families on a routine basis in a variety of community venues.
4	Does the grantee conduct and engage in outreach	0 Grantee does not engage in outreach activities with the local
	activities with the local VA? (Source: Grantee Program Files	VA.
	and Interview)	☐ 1 Grantee conducts and engages
		in outreach activities with VA
		agencies including VA Medical Center, Warrior Benefits
		Administration, and Vet Centers.
		2 Grantee conducts and engages
		in outreach activities with VA
		agencies including VA Medical
		Center, Warrior Benefits Administration, and Vet Centers.
		Grantee demonstrates a strong
		relationship with the local VA
		systems.
5	Does the grantee conduct and engage in outreach	0 Grantee does not engage in outreach activities with State and
	activities with State and local government agencies?	local government agencies.
	(Source: Grantee Program Files and Interview)	☐ 1 Grantee engages in outreach
		activities with State and local
		government agencies.
		2 Grantee engages in outreach activities with State and local
		government agencies. Grantee
		demonstrates a strong relationship
		with the State and local government
	Describe annual consideration than the majority of the consideration	agencies. O Grantee does not engage in
6	Does the grantee conduct outreach to private agencies	outreach activities with the private
	and organizations that serve Warrior and their families?	agencies and organizations.
	(Source: Grantee Program Files and Interview)	1 Grantee engages in outreach
		activates with private agencies and
		organizations.
		activities with private agencies and
		organizations. Grantee
		demonstrates a strong relationship
		with the private agencies and organizations.
7	Is the population of consumers currently being served	0 Grantee does not target
,	consistent with the target population in the approved	consumers with the target
		population.
	application? (Source: Grantee RFP, Grant Agreement and Monthly	1 Grantee demonstrates the
	Report)	ability to effectively target the population in the approved
		application (within 20% of target)
		2 Grantee demonstrates the

						population in the approved application (within 10% of target)	
--	--	--	--	--	--	---	--

Overall Remarks: UWS keeps detailed records of outreach events and defines them as Outreach, Warrior Outreach, Family Support, Community Engagement, Employment Outreach, Fundraising, Educational Outreach, Event Outreach, and Exposure Outreach." Outreach venues include a diverse population to the following venues: USMC, VA, Spouses Hike, PIT Count, Aughtry Fundraiser, Peace Center Partners, Easley Lions Club 1831 Gala, UPS Employee Expo, A Hero's 5K, Greenville Corvette Club, Logan & Pops Gun Event, Spouses Hike, Hero's Night-Hockey, National Guard Anderson, North Spartanburg Rotary, Fox Run-Pro Am, USFFC Edge of Revolt, Rotaract March Mixer, Ware Shoals High Poker Run, Community Town Hall, Pickens County Lunch, Oconne Financial Alliance, and several others.

ltem	Description	Score
1	Describe the process for determining consumer eligibility. (Source: Grantee Program Files and Interview)	□ 0 No eligibility policy and procedure and/or staff describes the procedure in an inconsistent manner □ 1 Vague written process of eligibility, little criteria. Staff describes the procedure in a consistent manner. □ 2 Well-written consumer eligibility policies with procedures for eligible and ineligible consumers. Staff can clearly and consistently describe the procedure.
2	 When a person served is found ineligible for services, does grantee have a process to: Inform the consumer as to the reasons Recommend alternative services Notify the referral source as to the reasons, if applicable (Source: Grantee Program Files and Interview) 	□ 0 Does not have a process for ineligible persons that notifies the consumer as to the reasons, recommends alternative services and notifies the referral source as to the reasons. □ 1 Does have a process for ineligible persons that notifies the consumer as to the reasons, recommends alternative services and notifies the referral source as to the reasons. □ 2 Does have a process for ineligible persons that notifies the consumer as to the reasons, recommends alternative services and notifies the referral source as to the reasons. The alternative resources

		to the needs of the consumer and is a direct referral.
	Notes:	
	UWS consistently obtains eligibility information via an Intal	ke form. UWS then inputs the
	information gathered on the intake form into WarriorServe	™ (Information System).
3	Where does the grantee refer Warrior families who are	0 Does not refer to other
	determined to be ineligible to participate? (Source: Grantee	resources consistently. 1 Refers, but process is vague and
	Program Files and Interview)	not always tailored to the Warrior
		family needs.
		2 Thorough referring process
		complete with tools (like pamphlets) and direct referrals for ineligible
		applicants.
	Notes:	
	All Warriors and their family members are eligible for assist	cance. For those who contact
	UWS who are not Warriors community referrals are provid-	ed.
5	Do the files reviewed adequately document that the	ODD214 or files indicating
	consumer's DD214 are obtained. (Source: Grantee Program	dishonorable discharge or no Warrior in household are not required or
	Files)	used for services
į.	***************************************	☐ 1 Few files requiring DD214 to
	***UWS only requires DD214 when assisting Warriors in	provide services are missing
	programs/benefits that require DD214.	
6	Do the files reviewed adequately document that the	0 No documentation *
	consumers are occupying permanent housing? (Source:	1 Clearly and consistently
	Grantee Program Files)	documented. 2 Clearly and consistently
		documented. With appropriate back
2		up documentation.
7	Do the files reviewed adequately document that the	0 No documentation *
	consumers are enrolled in education? (Source: Grantee	1 Clearly and consistently documented.
	Program Files)	2 Clearly and consistently
		documented. With appropriate back
0	De the files usuioused a description description that the	up documentation. 0 No documentation *
8	Do the files reviewed adequately document that the	1 Clearly and consistently
	consumers are employed or unemployed? (Source:	documented.
	Grantee Program Files)	2 Clearly and consistently documented. With appropriate back
		up documentation.
9	Do the files reviewed adequately document that the	0 No documentation *
	consumers are enrolled in the VA? (Source: Grantee	1 Clearly and consistently
	Program Files)	documented. 2 Clearly and consistently
		documented. With appropriate back
		up documentation.
10	Do the files reviewed adequately document that the	0 No documentation * 1 Clearly and consistently
	consumers are enrolled in e-benefits? (Source: Grantee	documented.
	Program Files)	2 Clearly and consistently
		documented. With appropriate back
		up documentation.

11	Do the files reviewed adequately document that the consumers are enrolled in WWP Alumni Program? (Source: Grantee Program Files)	□ 0 No documentation * □ 1 Clearly and consistently documented. □ 2 Clearly and consistently documented. With appropriate back up documentation.
12	Do the files reviewed adequately document that the consumers are identified Post 9/11 Warriors? (Source: Grantee Program Files)	☐ 0 No documentation * ☐ 1 Clearly and consistently documented. ☑ 2 Clearly and consistently documented. With appropriate back up documentation.
13	Do the files reviewed adequately document follow-up for each consumer at least every 3 months? (Source: Grantee Program Files/case notes)	□ 0 Case files do not adequately document follow-up services every 3 months. □ 1 Case files do adequately document follow-up every 3 months. □ 2 Case files do adequately document follow-up every 3 months. It is reviewed and signed off by program management.
14	Do the files reviewed adequately document the exit criteria for each consumer? (Source: Grantee Program Files/case notes)	□ 0 Case files do not adequately document exit from the program for each consumer. □ 1 Case files do adequately document exit from the program for each consumer. □ 2 Case files do adequately document exit from the program for each consumer .Exit documents outline goals that were established and met with Warrior signature.

Overall Remarks:

Reviewer gives UWS an A+ on their consistent and dedicated use of WarriorServe™ which allows for the reviewer to both quantify and qualitatively assess the support that is being conducted with Warriors and their family members. Since the previous audit, UWS has demonstrated an excellent method of assessing, planning and following up with the Warriors and their family members through documentation.

Reviewer randomly selected 30 Warrior files via WarriorServe™ and determined that the care that is being provided to the Warriors and their family members is holistic and supportive. Reviewer conducted an in depth analysis of the information obtained and documentation (ie. Reflecting outreach, follow-up and case coordination efforts). Reviewer is unable to make suggestions on case coordination being conducted to Warriors and their families as the documentation demonstrates an ethical and supportive front for caring for the Warriors and their families.

The following initials are the individuals whose records were reviewed: JH, TT, PA, AN, CC, NW, WM, JS, GS, JM, HT, PA, RS, JS, PA, MA, JS, TK, JP, MG, KB, AB, GG, SW, TL, RP, TT. The records reviewed reflected a mixture of staff who are working with them in order to ascertain the different levels of competency. The following staff members were associated with the randomly selected Warriors: Adam, Jonathan, Lee Eva, Derrick, Lee, Nate, Ryan, Theresa, Jenna, Charlie, Ben, Gavin.

Of the 30 Warriors randomly selected, all Warriors were contacted by reviewer via telephone. The responses are below:

"Hi my name is Anthony Krepps and I am calling on behalf of UWS. I am calling to see if you have been satisfied with our services and if you have any feedback you would like to share."

JH-Pleased with UWS

TT- Busy at work, asked to call back

PA-Voicemail

AN-Not available

CC-No services needed, pleased with UWS, gets emails hasn't had time to participate in events NW-satisfied, hasn't and doesn't need anything

WM-Wrong number

JS-Voicemail

GS-No Answer

JM-Voicemail

HT-Disconnected number

PA-Phone is Off

RS-Wrong number

JS-Receives emails about positions within community working on his upgrade status. Works

shift work at night, sleeps during day. UWS is GREAT.
PA-Voicemail PA-Voicemail
MA-Call back at work couldn't talk
JS-Disconnected number
TK-At work couldn't talk
JP-Disconnected Number
MG-Voicemail
MC-Number not in Service
KB-Voicemail
AB-Disconnected number
GG-Phone was busy
SW-Satisfied, spoke with Robin and Charlie. Great program
TL-Great experience very satisfied
TT-NSN, has application for Volunteering. Very happy with UWS.
F. Case Coordination of Supportive Services
This section is designed to assess the grantee's performance in conducting on-going
consumer needs assessments and in coordinating the supportive services identified in the

consu	ection is designed to assess the mer needs assessments and in wed application.					ie
Item	Description				Score	
1	Describe the supportive service the consumers. (Source: Granter		ted on behalf	all agreed to consum 1 Grar agreed up consumer 2 Grar supportive needs as a	ntee is coordinating oon supportive serv	all ices to
	Type of Benefit/Service	benefit di Comr Integrat	will provide rectly using nunity ion funds s/No)	consu obtainin through I other org	t will assist mers in g services referrals to ganizations s/No)	
	VA Health care services (Physical)	Yes	☐ No	⊠ Yes	☐ No	
	VA Health care services (Mental)	Yes	☐ No	⊠ Yes	☐ No	
	Daily living services (ADL)	Yes	☐ No		☐ No	
	Personal financial planning services	⊠ Yes	☐ No	Yes	☐ No	
	Transportation services		☐ No		☐ No	

	Income support services	X Yes	☐ No	X Yes	No	
	Legal services,	Yes	No	X Yes	No	
-	Does proper documentation exist for	Yes	□No			
	legal staff to rep. vets w/				1	
	VA claims process?					
	Child care	Yes	No	X Yes	No	
	Housing counseling,	Yes	No	X Yes	No	
	housing search					
	Educational Assistance/Support	⊠ Yes	No	⊠ Yes	☐ No	
	Employment	Yes	No	⊠ Yes	No	
	Assistance/Support			Z 163		
	WWP Alumni	Yes	No	Yes	No	
	Outreach	Yes	No	Yes	□ No	
	Other: Supportive Services	Yes	No	Yes	No	
	Other: Physical/Mental	Yes	No		No	
	Wellness					
	Other: Recreation	X Yes	☐ No		No No	
	Other: Peer Support	X Yes	☐ No	X Yes	☐ No	
	Other: Career Mentors	Yes	No No		No No	
	Other: Family Support	⊠ Yes	☐ No	⊠ Yes	☐ No	
	Program					
2	Describe the location where				ntee is not coording the the appropriate the the appropriate the second contract the s	_
	consumers takes place: Does materials, and staffing availal	_			and/or staffing.	
	appropriate level of coordina				tee is coordinating th the appropriate	
	Application, Interview and Visual O				and/or staffing.	
	Map)				tee is coordinating th the appropriate	
				200	and/or staffing. Gr	antee
					e flexible and ed in the communi	ty at
					dependent upon e consumer.	the
	Notes:			need or an	e consumer.	
	Refer to Section D "Outreach	and Targeting	g"			
3	Is there a coordinated holistic	c plan that is c	leveloped	The state of the s	consumer's holistic	
	with the active involvement of	of consumers	served that		entify overall goals tify specific measu	
	identifies:			goals, and/o	or methods/techni	ques to
	Overall goals	T		achieve the those respo	goals, and/or ider nsible for	ntifying
	Specific measurable o	=	a alata es Ales	implementa	ation. *	
	 Methods/techniques 	to be used to	achieve the	☐ 1 The ho	olistic plan is direct	tly

	objectives • Those responsible for implementation (Source: Grantee Program Files and Interview Consumers Files, Community Profile Map)	related to overall goals, and identification of specific measurable goals, and methods/techniques to achieve the goals, and identifying those responsible for implementation. 2 The holistic plan is directly related to identification of overall goals, and identifies specific measurable goals, and methods/techniques to achieve the goals, and identifying those responsible for implementation. The holistic plan is reviewed with the consumers on a regular basis and informs consumer. Supportive services provided and when a consumers should be exited from the program.
4	Do the files reviewed adequately document that consumers were provided with referrals and related activities to help consumers obtain needed support services? (Source: Consumers Files)	□ 0 Files reviewed do not adequately document the referrals provided and/or related activities to help consumers to obtain supportive services. □ 1 Files reviewed adequately document that consumers were provided referrals and related activities to help consumers obtain needed supportive services. □ 2 Files reviewed adequately documented that consumers were provided with referrals and related activities to help consumers obtain needed supportive services. Files reviewed documented whether the Warrior followed up with the referral.
5	Provide a list of the organizations with whom the grantee is working to provide services to consumers, such as Sub-grantees. (Source: Grantee Application, Quarterly Reports, and Interview, Community Profile Map)	□ 0 The list of organizations the grantee is working with does not include one of the following: mainstream services or VA services. □ 1 The list of organizations the grantee is working with is a comprehensive list that will provide supportive services to consumers, including VA services and mainstream services. □ 2 The list of organizations the grantee is working with is a comprehensive list that will provide supportive services to consumers, including VA services and mainstream services. Most of organizations are functioning as partners.

6	Do the files reviewed adequately document that consumers were provided with assistance in obtaining any benefits from VA for which the consumer is eligible? Including but not limited to the following: • Vocational and rehabilitation counseling • Employment and training service • Educational Assistance • Health Care Services (Source: Consumers Files, Interviews)	adequately document that consumers were provided assistance in obtaining any VA benefits for which the consumer is eligible. 1 The files reviewed adequately document that consumers were provided assistance in obtaining any VA benefits for which the consumer is eligible. 2 The files reviewed adequately document that consumers were provided assistance in obtaining any VA benefits for which the consumer is eligible. The files also document whether or not the Warrior received the benefit.
7	Do the files reviewed adequately document that consumers were provided with assistance in obtaining and coordinating the provision of public benefits? Including but not limited to the following:	The files reviewed do not adequately document that consumers were provided assistance in obtaining any public benefits for which the consumer is eligible. 1 The files reviewed adequately document that consumers were provided assistance in obtaining any public benefits for which the consumer is eligible. 2 The files reviewed adequately document that consumers were provided assistance in obtaining any public benefits for which the consumer is eligible. The files also document whether or not the Warrior received the benefit.
8	Are the supportive services being coordinated consistent with Community Integration Program Deliverables? (Source: Consumer Files, Grantee Application and Monthly Reports)	□ 0 The supportive services provided are not consistent with those described in the approved application. □ 1 The supportive services provided are consistent with those described in the approved application. □ 2 The supportive services provided are consistent with those described in the approved application. The supportive services provided are consistently and regularly reviewed by program leadership.
9	Does the grantee conduct on-going assessments of the consumers' supportive services needs and review the	0 The grantee does not conduct on-going assessments of the consumers supportive services needs

	coordinated holistic plan with respect to expected outcomes? (Source: Consumers Files and Interviews)	and/or does not review the holistic plan with respect to outcomes with the consumer. 1 The grantee conducts on-going assessments of the consumers supportive services needs and reviews the holistic plan with respect to outcomes with the consumer. 2 The grantee conducts on-going
		assessments of the consumers supportive services needs and reviews the holistic plan with respect to outcomes with the consumer. The grantee modifies the holistic plan as new needs are identified or outcomes are completed.
10	Is the grantee modifying its strategies for Outreach and Engagement and for each applicable program deliverable based on reported barriers? (Source: Monthly Reports and Grant Application)	 □ 0 Strategies are not being modified □ 1 Strategies are in the process of being modified. ☑ 2 Strategies have been modified and have been implemented.
11	Is the grantee updating its Community Profile Map accordingly based on what barriers they have encountered in achieving the program deliverables? (Source: Monthly Reports and Community Profile Map)	 ○ The Community Profile Map is not being updated accordingly. □ 1 The Community Profile Map has been partially updated. □ 2 The Community Profile Map has been fully updated.
This se	nancial Management and Cost Allowability ection is designed to review the grantee's financial manager expenditures. This section is designed to review the grantee s procedures comply with contractual obligations and progr	's allocation of cost to verify
ltem	Description	Score
1	Does the grantee have written procedures for recording financial transactions, and a current accounting manual and a chart of accounts? (Source: Grantee policy manual, grantee interview)	□ 0 Grantee does not have written procedures or current accounting manual in place.* □ 1 Grantee has written procedures, accounting manual and chart of accounts. □ 2 Procedures are clearly written provides action steps; evidence of communication to relevant staff and review by staff
	Notes: Financial Policies and Procedures manual has been	
	November to include recommendations/mandates from t including a cash donation policy and procedure.	
2	Does the grantee maintain a policy manual covering the authority for approving financial transactions? (Source: Grantee policy manual, grantee interview)	 □ 0 Grantee does not have a current policy manual in place covering authority for approving financial transactions. * □ 1 Grantee maintains a policy manual covering authority for approving financial transactions.

		2 Grantee maintains a policy manual covering authority for approving financial transactions; there is evidence that manual is periodically reviewed by relevant staff.
	Notes: Spending authority is included in the Financial Polici	
	for the Board of Directors. The manual also includes Budge	
	These sections include details on spending for grouped exp	
	Recommendation: A spending chart be developed for day	
	spending. Charlie Hall, UWS Executive Director, asked to se	
11	chart for him to use as a guide in creating a UWS spending	chart ii avaliable. Example
2	spending chart was sent to Mr. Hall.	0 Grantee does not have
3	Does the grantee have written procedures regarding the	written procedures regarding
	maintenance of accounting records?	maintenance of accounting
	(Source: Grantee Policy Manual)	records.*
		☐ 1 Grantee has written procedures regarding maintenance
		of accounting records.
		2 Procedures are clearly written
		providing action steps; there is evidence of communication to and
		periodic review by relevant staff.
	Notes: UWS uses an internal triple check for accounting, au	uditing, and reconciliation.
	The triple check includes 1 look over from the Office Manag	ger on a weekly basis, 1 look
	over from the Executive Director on a weekly basis, and a f	inal look over from the
	Director of Development on a monthly basis to close out th	ne month. Additionally,
	UWS uses an external accounting firm to prepare its month	nly, quarterly, and annual
	financial statements.	
4	Are the grantee's fiscal records and valuables secured in	0 Grantees fiscal
	a limited access area? (Source: Grantee Policy Manual,	records/valuables are not secured.* 1 Grantees fiscal
	Interview and Visual Observance)	records/valuables are secured in
		limited access area.
		2 Grantees fiscal records/valuables secured in area
		limited to access by relevant
		management and fiscal staff.
	Notes: As described in the Financial Policies and Procedure	
	lock system for keeping fiscal records and valuables secure	
	The triple lock includes the Office Building lock, the Office	room door lock, and then a
	locked safe or filing cabinet.	
5	Does the grantee identify expenditures in its accounting	0 Grantee does not have method to identify expenditures
	records according to eligible activities identified in the	according to eligible activities
	approved application?	identified in approved application. *
	(Source: Grantee Program Files, Fiscal Records and Approved	1 Grantee has accounting records that clearly identify
	Program Budget)	expenditures identified in most
		recent program budget.
		2 Grantee has accounting

		records that clearly identify expenditures identified in most recent program budget. Grantee regularly monitors actual expenditures to compare with program budget.
6	Are charges to the program budget for salaries and wages, whether treated as direct or indirect costs, based on payroll documentation and approved by a responsible official(s) of the organization being monitored? (Source: Grantee Program Files)	□ 0 Grantee does not have documentation identifying payroll documentation. □ 1 Grantee has documentation of wages based on payroll that identifies employee, time worked in program budget, and deduction. Individual payroll has been approved by responsible staff. □ 2Grantee has documentation of wages based on payroll that identifies employee, time worked in program, and deductions that has been approved by responsible staff. Grantee provides evidence of periodic review of charges for salaries and comparison of actual services provided.
7	Are payments for employee salaries supported by timesheets indicating actual times? (Source: Grantee Program Files)	□ 0 Grantee does not have timesheets to support charges for wages or salaries. □ 1 Grantee provides timesheets that indicate time worked in CI program budget for each employee being paid with CI funds. □ 2 Grantee provides timesheets that indicate time worked for each employee being paid with CI funds. Timesheets are signed by employee and supervisor and approved by fiscal staff.
	Notes: UWS implemented an electronic timesheet and mile	eage tracking system as of
	August 1, 2016. Recommendation: UWS to provide a Timesheet and Milea Procedure for the next annual audit.	ge tracking Policy and
8	If salaries are being paid from more than one source, do the fiscal records clearly define payments among the funding sources? (Source: Grantee Program Files)	□ 0 Grantee does not have fiscal records defining payments among funding sources. □ 1 Grantees fiscal records clearly define payments of salaries from each funding source through time
		sheets or payroll records. 2 Grantee provides timesheets that indicate time worked by each employee and clearly define programs for which salaries are being paid. Timesheets are signed by employee and supervisor and

		approved by fiscal staff. ☑ Not Applicable
	Notes: UWS states America's Warrior Partnership Communistheir only program. Therefore all salaries are funded by Communistry are implementing electronic timesheets as of August however indicate proper accounting is made in regards to a appropriate accounts for Program Personnel and Administry	CI or required match funds. 1. Their financial records allocating salaries to
9	Is there evidence in the financial records of any cash payments being provided directly to the consumers? (Source: Grantee Program Files and Consumers Files)	□ 0 Program files or financial records indicate cash or gift cards provided to consumers. □ 1 Payments of cash or gift cards directly to consumers is not evident in financial records. □ 2 Payments of cash or gift cards directly to consumers is not evident in financial records and procedures exist to mitigate ineligible expenses.
	Notes: UWS states they will sometimes distribute gift cards you or to veterans they are providing services to for emerg compiled Policy and Procedure for the distribution of gift cards, but UWS has a process in post Recommendation: Reviewer recommends UWS coordinated within the community to develop a voucher system instead Distributing gift cards makes it difficult to account for the expendence of the individual who receives the gift card is a cother than what the initial expense was intended for. Mandate: UWS create a feasible voucher system including be submitted to America's Warrior Partnership within 4 modes December 15, 2016.	ency funds. There is no ards and for the accounting lace. with a partner organization of distributing gift cards. Expense and service able to purchase something a policy and procedure to
10	Do the disbursement requests match the schedule for grant disbursement? (Source: Grantee Program Files, Quarterly Disbursements)	□ 0 Grantee does not have backup documentation to support disbursement request. * □ 1 Grantee has backup documentation to support disbursement request. □ 2 Grantee has backup documentation to support disbursement request and documentation linking expenses to CI program files.
11	Is there evidence that ineligible expenses have been paid with CI funds (Examples of ineligible expenditures include, but are not limited to, costs associated with entertainment, contributions and donations, fines and penalties, or general governmental and nonprofit expenditures including salary and expenses of the chief executive officer of the grantee.) (Source: Grantee Program Files and Approved Program Budget)	□ 0 Program files or financial records indicate ineligible expenses have been paid with CI funds. □ 1 Payments of ineligible expenses are not evident in financial records. □ 2 Payments of ineligible expenses are not evident in financial records and procedures exist to mitigate payments of ineligible expenses.

	Notes: Fundraising is categorized as marketing within CI fu	
	Hall, UWS Executive Director. UWS wanted to ensure their	fundraising expense was a
- 10	separate account for financial accounting purposes.	O Cinomeial records indicate
12	Does a review of the grantee's expenditures indicate that costs related to lobbying political activities have not been charged to the CI program? (Source: Grantee Program Files and Approved Program Budget)	☐ 0 Financial records indicate costs related to lobbying have been paid with CI funds. ☐ 1 Costs related to lobbying are not evident in financial records. ☐ 2 Costs related to lobbying are not evident in financial records and procedures exist to mitigate payments of these costs to the CI program.
Over	all Remarks: Policies are still in place and are updated or	
curre	nt budget is over spent, but well accounted for.	
Reco	mmendation: Find ways to decrease program expenses	by partnering with local
non-p	profit organizations and volunteers and/or by implement	ting more internship
progr	ams.	
Man	date: The America's Warrior Partnership grant funds mu	st be fully matched by the
end c	of the grant year within the approved program budget or	any future budget
	ocation upon approval.	
LI De	olicy and Procedures	
		42 40 TO SECONO DE LA CONTROL DE CONTROL DE SECONO DE LA CONTROL DE LA CONTROL DE CONTROL DECENTROL DE CONTROL DECENTROL DE CONTROL DECENTROL DE CONTROL DE CO
This s	ection is designed to review the grantee's policies and proced	dures to ensure compliance
This s	ection is designed to review the grantee's policies and procedure or organized program requirements and achievement of program goals.	
This swith p	ection is designed to review the grantee's policies and procedure or organized program goals. Description	Score
This s	ection is designed to review the grantee's policies and procedure or organized program requirements and achievement of program goals.	

3	The grantee has policies and procedure how case coordination is delivered to c include:	onsumers that ation services nunity resources e wellbeing	□ 0 Grantee does not have written procedures describing how case coordination is delivered or procedures do not contain all elements. □ 1 Grantee has policies and procedures describing how case coordination is delivered that include all elements. □ 2 Grantee has written policy and procedures providing action steps; there is evidence of communication to and periodic review by relevant staff.	
5	The grantee has procedures regarding sharing of information with other individuals or agencies that includes identification of information to be shared and forms to authorize release of information that identify at a minimum:		□ 0 Grantee does not have procedures regarding sharing of information with other agencies. □ 1 Grantee has procedures in place for sharing of information with other individuals or agencies that contains all elements. □ 2 Grantee has written procedures for sharing information with other individuals or agencies that contains all elements; there is evidence procedures are communicated to and practiced consistently by relevant staff. □ 0 Grantee does not have written policies and procedures describing exit from CI program or procedures do not contain all elements. □ 1 Grantee has written procedures describing exit from CI Program that include all elements. □ 2 Grantee has written policy and procedures providing action steps; there is evidence of communication	
to and periodic review by relevant staff. Overall Remarks:				
Total Score:				
1. Names and Titles of CI Staff Participating in Exit Conference:		Cheree Tham, Director of Community Integration Kaitlin Mongan, Grants and Planning Monitor		

2. Names and Titles of Grantee	
Staff in Attendance:	
3. Discussion (Highlights,	
including areas of disagreement,	
if any):	